

external rotation 57.5°. Grafts positioning was flush with the glenoid in 93% of cases, vertical positioning was excellent in 89% (3-5 o'clock).

**Conclusion:** Arthroscopic stabilization of the shoulder with distal tibia allograft augmentation is a good safety profile technique with good results at average of two years follow up.

### Distal Clavicular Osteochondral Autograft Augmentation for Glenoid Bone Loss: A Comparison of Radius of Restoration Versus Latarjet Graft

SS-03

May 18, 2017, 8:30 AM

ADAM KWAPISZ, M.D., PRESENTING AUTHOR

KELLY FITZPATRICK, D.O.

JAY COOK, M.D.

JOHN TOKISH, M.D.



**Introduction:** The purpose of this paper is to evaluate a distal clavicular autograft for suitability for augmentation of glenoid bone loss in the setting of glenohumeral instability. Specifically, we sought to compare the radius of reconstruction of this graft with that of an ipsilateral coracoid graft as prepared for a Latarjet procedure. Further, we sought to compare the articular cartilage thickness of the distal clavicle graft with that of the native glenoid.

**Methods:** Twenty-seven fresh frozen cadaver specimens were dissected and an open distal clavicle excision was performed. In addition, the coracoid process in each specimen was prepared as is described for a Latarjet coracoid transfer. In each specimen, the distal clavicle graft was compared to the coracoid graft for size and potential of glenoid articular radius of restoration. The distal clavicle graft was also compared to the native glenoid for cartilage thickness.

**Results:** In all specimens, the distal clavicle grafts provided a greater radius of glenoid restoration than the coracoid grafts,  $p = 0.00000008$ . On average the clavicular graft restored 44% of glenoid diameter, compared to 33% in the case of the coracoid graft  $p = 0.00000009$ . Glenoid cartilage averaged 1.44 mm thicker in the native glenoid compared to the distal clavicular autograft,  $p = 0.000008$ . When specimens with OA were excluded this difference decreased to 0.97 when compared to the clavicular cartilage ( $p = 0.0026$ ).

**Conclusion:** The distal clavicle autograft can restore a glenoid bone deficit of a greater radius than a coracoid as prepared for a Latarjet procedure, and provides a source of articular cartilage to the reconstruction. The articular cartilage thickness is within 1.5 mm of that of the native glenoid.

### Complications Following Anterior Shoulder Instability Treatment: Bankart Repair Versus Latarjet

SS-04

May 18, 2017, 8:35 AM

RACHEL FRANK, M.D., PRESENTING AUTHOR



BRYCE BASQUES, M.D.

TIMOTHY LEROUX, M.D.

JUSTIN GRIFFIN, M.D.

ROBERT THORSNESS, M.D.

NIKHIL VERMA, M.D.

MATTHEW PROVENCHER, M.D.

ANTHONY ROMEO, M.D.

**Introduction:** The purpose of this study was to utilize a large population cohort to compare complication and reoperation rates following the Latarjet procedure to those following arthroscopic Bankart repair.

**Methods:** Using current procedural terminology codes, a population database was used to generate a cohort of patients who underwent Latarjet and Bankart repair between 2007-2014 in the United States. Complications following surgery were identified using ICD9 codes, including deep vein thrombosis/pulmonary embolus (DVT/PE), nerve injury, surgical site infection (SSI), hematoma, capsulitis, and dislocation, while CPT codes were used to determine overall and procedure specific reoperations, including irrigation and debridement (I&D), open reduction, and lysis of adhesions/manipulation under anesthesia.

**Results:** 5331 patients who underwent shoulder stabilization procedures between 2007 and 2014 were included. 4764 patients underwent arthroscopic Bankart repair (71.6% male), 428 patients underwent open Bankart repair (68.7% male), and 139 patients underwent Latarjet (75.5% male). There were significant increases in the annual rates of both arthroscopic Bankart repair (2007: 9.4% vs. 2014: 15.8%,  $P < 0.001$ ) and Latarjet (2007: <7.91% to 20.9%,  $P = 0.016$ ), while the number of open Bankart repairs decreased (2007: 16.8% vs 2014: 11.4%,  $P = 0.002$ ). The odds of reoperation at 90-days, 6-months, and 1-year following the Latarjet procedure were 350%, 210%, and 250% greater than arthroscopic Bankart repair, respectively ( $p < 0.001$  for all). The odds of a DVT/PE diagnosis (OR 8.2,  $p = 0.02$ ) were significantly greater following Latarjet versus arthroscopic Bankart repair. The odds of a postoperative SSI, nerve injury, adhesive capsulitis, or I&D were not significantly different between patients undergoing Latarjet and arthroscopic Bankart repair.

**Conclusion:** The rate of utilization of Latarjet and arthroscopic Bankart repair has increased in the past seven years, while the rate of open stabilization has decreased. The odds of requiring a reoperation or developing any complication were greater following Latarjet versus arthroscopic Bankart repair.

### The "Safe Zone" Technique Improves Suture Placement and Accuracy During Arthroscopic Remplissage: A Cadaveric Validation of A Novel Technique

SS-05

May 18, 2017, 8:40 AM

CYNTHIA KAHLBERG, M.D., PRESENTING AUTHOR

GRANT GARCIA, M.D.

RYAN DEGEN, M.D., M.Sc., F.R.C.S.C.



JOSEPH LIU, M.D.

DANIEL HURWIT, M.D.

JOSHUA DINES, M.D.

**Introduction:** Recent studies have raised concerns over accuracy of suture passage during arthroscopic remplissage. Our purpose was to validate if a previously described “safe zone” technique (SZ) for remplissage suture placement can improve accuracy of suture passage through the infraspinatus tendon.

**Methods:** An arthroscopic remplissage was performed on 6 cadaveric specimens using a recommended “safe zone” suture passage technique, described as a region at least 1 cm lateral to the posterolateral acromion (PLA) and no greater than 3 cm distal. Two anchors were placed following, which sutures were shuttled through the posterior rotator cuff arthroscopically. Specimens were then dissected to analyze the accuracy of suture passage. Results were compared with a control group of 6 separate specimens where suture passage was done with standard techniques without use of the “safe zone” (SZ).

**Results:** A total of 24 suture passes were performed for each group. 83.3% (20/24) passed through the infraspinatus tendon in the SZ group. This was significantly improved compared with the control group where only 25% (6/24) pierced through the infraspinatus tendon ( $p < 0.01$ ). 4.2% (1/24) of attempted passes in the SZ group passed through the muscle or musculotendinous junction compared with 75% (18/24) in the control group ( $p < 0.01$ ). Prevention of over-medialization significantly improved using the SZ as represented by both anchors having suture passage significantly more lateral (6-10mm) than the control group ( $p < 0.01$ ). There was also an improvement in the precision of suture passes with utilization of the SZ, as overall precision (SD) improved in 75% of passes.

**Conclusion:** We found the safe zone technique significantly improved accuracy of suture penetration into the infraspinatus tendon during arthroscopic remplissage. This technique also prevents over-medialization with regards to muscle penetration and over-distalization caused by teres minor penetration. The safe zone technique provides a reproducible method that may prove useful to prevent reported complications associated with remplissage.

### Arthroscopic Superior Capsular Reconstruction for the Treatment of Massive Irreparable Rotator Cuff Tears in the Active Patient: 1-2 year Results

SS-06

May 18, 2017, 8:10 AM

WILLIAM PENNINGTON, M.D., PRESENTING AUTHOR

BRIAN BARTZ, P.A.-C.

JOANN PAULI, P.A.-C.

**Introduction:** To report our results in the first 58 shoulders in 57 patients undergoing arthroscopic superior capsular reconstruction for the treatment of the younger active patient with irreparable rotator cuff tears and rotator cuff arthropathy.

**Methods:** 57 consecutive patients presented with massive irreparable rotator cuff tear with retraction and atrophy (Goutallier grade 4). One patient has undergone bilateral arthroscopic superior capsular reconstruction. All had failed previous treatments including surgical and non-surgical modalities. These patients were too young and active (ages 42-67) for treatment with reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. All patients had unacceptable pain and weakness. The tears involved the supraspinatus or both supraspinatus and infraspinatus tendons. All patients were treated with arthroscopic superior capsular reconstruction with an acellular dermal allograft. Evaluation utilizing an internet based outcome tracking system, radiographic analysis and functional assessment to assess range of motion and dynamometric strength data utilized to track outcomes.

**Results:** At minimum of one year follow up, 56/57 shoulders are satisfied. One shoulder went on to revision to reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. Outcome data analysis demonstrated significantly improved scores in visual analog scores (3.6 to 1.3), Simple shoulder test (39 to 64), SANE Scores (31 to 65), ASES Function scores (14 to 21), and ASES Index scores (45 to 64) at minimal one year follow up. Active range of motion measurements in forward flexion and abduction as well as dynamometric strength measures have shown significant improvement as well. Radiographic analysis demonstrated significant improvement in acromiohumeral distance that was maintained over the postoperative period (1 to 2 years).

**Conclusion:** Arthroscopic superior capsular reconstruction with acellular dermal allograft has been a successful procedure in decreasing pain and improving function during this early postoperative period. As this procedure “burns no bridges”, it is an attractive alternative to reverse total shoulder arthroplasty in the young active patient population.

### Arthroscopic Superior Capsule Reconstruction (ASCR) vs. Latissimus Dorsi Transfer (LDT): a comparison of early clinical outcomes

SS-07

May 18, 2017, 9:15 AM

PETER MILLETT, M.D., M.Sc., PRESENTING AUTHOR

JONAS POGORZELSKI, M.D.

MARILEE HORAN, M.P.H.

ERIK FRITZ, M.D.

JAN KATTHAGEN, M.D.

**Introduction:** Arthroscopic superior capsule reconstruction (ASCR) has recently been introduced as an alternative to latissimus dorsi transfer (LDT) for treatment of irreparable rotator cuff tears in young patients. Our hypothesis was preliminary clinical outcomes for patients undergoing ASCR would not significantly differ from those of LDT patients for irreparable tears.

**Methods:** Patients who underwent either a LDT or ASCR with a minimum follow-up of 6 months (mean 26 month, range 6-92 month) were included. In the ASCR technique, a 3-mm acellular human dermal allograft was individually

