

# Editorial Commentary: As Nature Intended: Will Inclusion of the Medial Patellotibial Ligament Create a Better Medial Patellofemoral Complex Reconstruction?



Michael A. Zacchilli, M.D., and Mary K. Mulcahey, M.D., Editorial Board

**Abstract:** The medial patellofemoral complex, composed predominantly of the medial patellofemoral ligament, plays an important role in patellar tracking and stability. Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction is accordingly one of the most broadly applied surgical techniques for treating patellar instability. Orthopaedic research has demonstrated that surgeries that restore native anatomy are often more effective. The medial patellotibial ligament clearly serves an important supporting role in patellar tracking and stability, particularly in early flexion, and its inclusion in medial soft-tissue reconstructions more closely restores native patella tracking. Whether reconstructions incorporating the medial patellotibial ligament will translate to improved outcomes remains unclear.

*See related article on page 2501*

**R**ecurrent patellar instability is a frequently encountered problem for the practicing sports medicine physician. Lateral patellar instability accounts for 2% to 3% of all knee injuries and is especially common in young, female patients.<sup>1,2</sup> Although recurrence was traditionally considered to be uncommon, recent research aimed at predicting recurrence risk has reported rates closer to 50%.<sup>3,4</sup> Several risk factors have been described, including trochlear dysplasia, patella alta, increased lateral patellar tilt, a high tibial tubercle–trochlear groove distance (or related ratio), and age/skeletal immaturity.<sup>3-5</sup> Although

there are numerous surgical options available to address instability, medial soft-tissue reconstructions are perceived as less morbid and have demonstrated clinical effectiveness even in the setting of trochlear dysplasia.<sup>6</sup> Accordingly, these surgeries are the most commonly used subgroup, and continued efforts to optimize results and minimize morbidity have generated a renewed interest in medial soft-tissue anatomy and biomechanics.

The medial patellofemoral complex consists of the medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL), medial quadriceps tendon femoral ligament (MQTFL), medial patellotibial ligament (MPTL), and medial patellomeniscal ligament (MPML). The MPFL contributes the greatest soft-tissue restraint to lateral translation of the patella through the full arc of motion, whereas the MQTFL, MPTL, MPML, and lateral retinaculum serve as secondary stabilizers.<sup>7,8</sup> The MPTL and MPML play an important role in maintaining stability of the patellofemoral joint, especially near full knee extension, when they oppose the proximal pull of the quadriceps.<sup>9,10</sup> Philippot et al.<sup>11</sup> found that the MPTL and MPML, as a unit, contributed 26% of the resistance to lateral translation in full extension and 46% at 90° of flexion. In addition, at 90° of knee flexion, the MPTL and MPML were responsible for 72% of patellar tilt and 92% of patellar rotation.

Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell (M.A.Z.)

The authors report the following potential conflicts of interest or sources of funding: M.K.M. reports other from Arthrex, outside the submitted work, and AAOS: Board or committee member; American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine: Board or committee member; Arthroscopy Association of North America: Board or committee member; Ortho Info: Editorial or governing board; Ruth Jackson Orthopaedic Society: Board or committee member; and The Forum: Board or committee member. M.A.Z. reports Arthroscopy Association of North America: Board or committee member; Journal of Special Operations Medicine: Editorial or governing board. Full ICMJE author disclosure forms are available for this article online, as [supplementary material](#).

© 2020 by the Arthroscopy Association of North America  
0749-8063/201187/\$36.00  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2020.07.016>

Injuries to the MPFL and MPTL result in altered patellofemoral motion and forces.<sup>12</sup> In the current article, “Medial Patellotibial Ligament Reconstruction Improves Patella Tracking When Combined With Medial Patellofemoral Reconstruction: An In Vitro Kinematic Study,” Grantham, Aman, Brady, Rosenberg, Turnbull, Storaci, Dorman, and LaPrade sought to investigate the isolated and combined effects of MPFL and MPTL deficiency and reconstruction on patellofemoral kinematics.<sup>13</sup> The authors performed dynamic and static kinematic testing between 0 and 90° using 16 matched-paired female cadaveric knees in 5 conditions: (1) intact, (2) MPFL or MPTL cut, (3) MPFL and MPTL both cut, (4) MPFL or MPTL reconstruction, and (5) MPFL and MPTL combined reconstruction. A motion-capture system was used to detect the position of the patella throughout all testing. With static testing, the authors found that isolated MPTL deficiency was not sufficient to create significantly increased lateral or superior patellar translation at any flexion angle but further increased instability when the MPFL was also deficient. Isolated MPTL reconstruction was not sufficient to restore stability to an intact state, whereas both isolated MPFL and combined reconstruction provided improved stability. The most valuable results, however, were demonstrated during dynamic tracking evaluation. When compared with the intact state, MPFL reconstruction showed increased medial translation from 0 to 30° and increased lateral translation beyond 30°. The combined reconstruction demonstrated a more balanced correction throughout the motion arc, more closely replicating the intact state.

MPFL reconstruction is the most common procedure performed for surgical treatment of patellar instability. However, clinical failure, defined as the persistence of objective or subjective instability, occurs in 12% of cases.<sup>14</sup> MPTL reconstruction appears to normalize the dynamic function of the MPFL reconstructions throughout a full range of motion, particularly in extension and in greater degrees of knee flexion. Previous clinical studies have demonstrated good results following isolated MPTL reconstruction.<sup>15-17</sup> The recurrence of patellar instability following MPFL reconstruction may be due to loosening, which occurs as a result of increased stress placed on the reconstructed MPFL. The reconstruction of a secondary stabilizing ligament (e.g., MQTFL or MPTL) could decrease stress on the MPFL, normalize functionality at full extension and deep flexion, and lead to improved functional outcomes.<sup>18</sup> Previous clinical studies on the combined reconstruction of the MPFL and MPTL have shown low complication rates and good overall results.<sup>18-22</sup>

The well-done study by Grantham et al. further defines the role of the MPFL and MPTL on patellofemoral motion with the potential for combined reconstruction

to improve stability and optimize patellofemoral tracking. The primary limitation to applying these findings will be a question of indications. Patients with patella instability have a broad range of underlying risk factors, bony morphology, and expectations. The current study does not evaluate the variable contribution the MPTL may have in the setting of other anatomic risk factors such as dysplasia, tubercle–trochlear groove distance, or rotational or coronal abnormalities. Furthermore, it remains unclear whether the potential for increased morbidity will be justified by improved outcomes. In our experience, most patients with recurrent patellar instability do well following isolated MPFL reconstruction in the absence of severe dysplasia or other large bony deformities. Given the biomechanical findings of this and other studies, we believe the addition of MPTL and/or MQTFL reconstruction is most likely to have an impact in patients with greater risk for tracking abnormalities in extremes of motion, such as patients with patella alta and trochlear dysplasia. There may similarly be a role for adding MPTL reconstruction in patients with abnormalities of patellar tilt and rotation. To optimize outcomes for our patients, we will consider performing MPTL reconstruction in conjunction with MPFL reconstruction in these select cases, and eagerly anticipate future comparative clinical studies to further clarify its utility. We commend the authors on their work, which adds helpful information to the literature and will allow us to further refine our approach to managing patients with patellar instability

## References

1. Arendt EA, Fithian DC, Cohen E. Current concepts of lateral patella dislocation. *Clin Sports Med* 2002;21:499-519.
2. Askenberger M, Ekstrom W, Finnbogason T, Janarv P-M. Occult intra-articular knee injuries in children with hemarthrosis. *Am J Sports Med* 2014;42:1600-1606.
3. Balcarek P, Oberthur S, Hopfensitz S, et al. Which patellae are likely to redislocate? *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2014;22:2308-2314.
4. Hevesi M, Heidenrich MJ, Camp CL, et al. The recurrent instability of the patella score: A statistically based model for prediction of long-term recurrence risk after first-time dislocation. *Arthroscopy* 2019;35:537-543.
5. Nathani A, Dines JS, Allen AA, et al. An algorithmic approach to the management of recurrent lateral patellar dislocation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2016;98:417-427.
6. Steiner TM, Torga-Spak R, Teitge RA. Medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction in patients with lateral patellar instability and trochlear dysplasia. *Am J Sports Med* 2006;34:1254-1261.
7. Christian DR, Redondo ML, Cancienne JM, et al. Differential contributions of the quadriceps and patellar attachments of the proximal medial patellar restraints to

- resisting lateral patellar translation. *Arthroscopy* 2020;36:1670-1676.
8. Conlan T, Garth WPJR, Lemons JE. Evaluation of the medial soft-tissue restraints of the extensor mechanism of the knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1993;75:682-693.
  9. Garth WPJ, Connor GS, Futch L, Belarmino H. Patellar subluxation at terminal knee extension: Isolated deficiency of the medial patellomeniscal ligament. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2011;93:954-962.
  10. Ebied AM, El-Kholy W. Reconstruction of the medial patello-femoral ligament and patello-tibial ligaments for treatment of patellar instability. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2012;20:926-932.
  11. Philippot R, Boyer B, Testa R, Farizon F, Moyen B. The role of the medial ligamentous structures on patellar tracking during knee flexion. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2012;20:331-336.
  12. Amis AA, Firer P, Mountney J, Senavongse W, Thomas NP. Anatomy and biomechanics of the medial patellofemoral ligament. *Knee* 2003;10:215-220.
  13. Grantham JW, Aman ZS, Brady AW, et al. Medial patello-tibial ligament reconstruction improves patella tracking when combined with medial patellofemoral reconstruction: An in vitro kinematic study. *Arthroscopy* 2020;36:2501-2509.
  14. Shah JN, Howard JS, Flanigan DC, et al. A systematic review of complications and failures associated with medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction for recurrent patellar dislocation. *Am J Sports Med* 2012;40:1916-1923.
  15. Aulisa AG, Falciglia F, Giordano M, Savignoni P, Guzzanti V. Galeazzi's modified technique for recurrent patella dislocation in skeletally immature patients. *J Orthop Sci* 2012;17:148-155.
  16. Rillmann P, Dutly A, Kieser C, Berbig R. Modified Elmslie-Trillat procedure for instability of the patella. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 1998;6:31-35.
  17. Zaffagnini S, Grassi A, Marcheggiani Muccioli G, et al. Medial patello-tibial ligament (MPTL) reconstruction for patellar instability. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2014;22:2491-2498.
  18. Hinckel BB, Gobbi RG, Demange MK, Bonadio MB, Pecora JR, Camanho GL. Combined reconstruction of the medial patellofemoral ligament with quadriceps tendon and the medial patello-tibial ligament with patellar tendon. *Arthrosc Tech* 2016;5:e79-e84.
  19. Elias JJ, Cech JA, Weinstein DM, Cosgarea AJ. Reducing the lateral force acting on the patella does not consistently decrease patellofemoral pressures. *Am J Sports Med* 2004;32:1202-1208.
  20. Feller JA, Amis AA, Andrish JT, Arendt EA, Erasmus PJ, Powers CM. Surgical biomechanics of the patellofemoral joint. *Arthroscopy* 2007;23:542-553.
  21. Hensler D, Sillanpaa PJ, Schoettle PB. Medial patellofemoral ligament: Anatomy, injury, and treatment in the adolescent knee. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 2014;26:70-78.
  22. Hinckel BB, Gobbi RG, Bonadio MB, Demange MK, Pecora JR, Camanho GL. Reconstruction of medial patellofemoral ligament using quadriceps tendon combined with reconstruction of the medial patello-tibial ligament using patellar tendon: initial experience. *Rev Bras Ortop* 2016;51:75-82.