

Editorial Commentary: Anterior Cruciate Ligament Hamstring Autografts Should Be Avoided in Patients Younger Than 25 Years Old: Autograft–Allograft Hybrids Remain Controversial



Michael D. Feldman, M.D., Associate Editor

Abstract: Some studies have reported no difference between autograft and hybrid anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions. However, other studies have shown a significantly greater revision rate. Consequently, surgeons are reluctant to perform hybrid reconstructions in younger patients with diminutive hamstring autografts and have turned to other autograft graft sources (e.g., quadriceps tendon, patellar tendon). Until we can perform high-quality prospective studies that can definitively answer this question, we should consider avoiding autograft hamstring reconstructions in patients younger than 25 years old so that we are not faced with the dilemma of implanting an undersized autograft or a hybrid graft, as both may be at increased risk for failure.

See related article on page 173

Hybridization in farming has been shown to improve crops by increasing yield, providing disease resistance, and eliminating undesirable traits. However, hybrid grafts in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction have had mixed results. Some studies have reported no difference between autograft and hybrid reconstructions,¹⁻⁴ whereas other studies have shown a significantly greater revision rate.^{5,6} Closer analysis of these studies shows that hybrid grafts seem to behave similar to autografts in older patients but fare much worse, with a greater re-rupture rate and with lower patient-reported outcomes, in younger (age <25 years) patients. Consequently, surgeons are reluctant to perform hybrid reconstructions in younger patients with diminutive hamstring autografts and have turned to other autograft graft sources (e.g., quadriceps tendon, patellar tendon).

However, Rao, Macknet, Stuhlman, Yeatts, Trofa, Odum, Slatzman, and Fleishli with their study,

“Allograft Augmentation of Hamstring Autograft in Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction Results in Equivalent Outcomes to Autograft Alone,” have reignited the hybrid ACL reconstruction debate in younger patients.⁷ By performing a retrospective case control comparative analysis with median follow-up of 4.4 years, the authors were able to show that there was no significant difference in revision or patient-reported outcomes between those patients who underwent allograft-augmented hamstring ACL reconstruction with preaugmentation graft size <8 mm to those patients who underwent autograft hamstring ACL reconstruction alone with graft diameter >8 mm. More importantly, when the results were stratified by patient age <25 years old to those patients >25 years old, there was still no difference in failure rate or patient-reported outcomes. All allografts were sterilized by low-dose gamma irradiation, which was thought not to affect structural integrity. However, donor age was not reported.

So why are the results of this study so dramatically different than previous studies regarding hybrid reconstruction in younger patients? Could it be the age of the donor grafts? Surgical technique? Postoperative rehabilitation? There doesn't appear to be anything reported that is significantly different from previous studies to easily explain the improved results. However, the

The author reports that he has no conflicts of interest in the authorship and publication of this article. Full ICMJE author disclosure forms are available for this article online, as [supplementary material](#).

© 2020 by the Arthroscopy Association of North America
0749-8063/201670/\$36.00

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2020.10.002>

decision regarding allograft augmentation was made on a case-by case basis based on surgeon preference, and surgical technique varied regarding femoral drilling technique, femoral fixation, and tibial fixation. Thus, there are still too many unknown variables and biases in this retrospective study to embrace single-bundle hybrid ACL reconstruction in younger patients at this time. There have been 5 (and now 6) editorial commentaries in this journal in the last 5 years to try and decipher whether hybrid grafts are a viable option for diminutive hamstring grafts in young patients, and we still don't have the answer.⁸⁻¹² Until we can perform high-quality reproducible prospective studies that can resolve this question, maybe we should avoid performing autograft hamstring reconstructions in patients younger than 25 years old so that we are not faced with the dilemma of implanting an undersized autograft or a hybrid graft, as both options may be at increased risk for failure.

References

1. Xu H, Dong J, Xin D, Zhang J, Kang K, Gao S. Second-look arthroscopic evaluation and clinical outcomes of anatomic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with autograft and hybrid graft: A retrospective study. *Med Sci Monit* 2017;23:5564-5573.
2. Leo BM, Krill M, Barksdale L, Alvarez-Pinzon AM. Failure rate and clinical outcomes of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using autograft hamstring versus a hybrid graft. *Arthroscopy* 2016;32:2357-2363.
3. Kraeutler MJ, Kim SH, Brown CC, et al. Clinical outcomes following primary anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with hamstring autograft versus planned hybrid graft. *J Knee Surg* 2018;31:827-833.
4. Zheng X, Hu Y, Xie P, et al. Clinical outcomes and second-look arthroscopic findings of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with autograft, hybrid graft, and allograft. *J Orthop Surg Res* 2019;14:380.
5. Burrus MT, Werner BC, Crow AJ, et al. Increased failure rates after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with soft-tissue autograft-allograft hybrid grafts. *Arthroscopy* 2015;31:2342-2351.
6. Perkins CA, Busch MT, Christino M, Herzog MM, Willimon SC. Allograft augmentation of hamstring anterior cruciate ligament autografts is associated with increased graft failure in children and adolescents. *Am J Sports Med* 2019;47:1576-1582.
7. Rao AJ, Macknet DM, Stuhlman CR, et al. Allograft augmentation of hamstring autograft in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction results in equivalent outcomes to autograft alone. *Arthroscopy* 2020;37:175-182.
8. Flanigan DC, Magnussen RA. Editorial Commentary: The trend to blend: Should we be using hybrid grafts in adult anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions? *Arthroscopy* 2019;35:1914-1916.
9. Wang HD, Zhang YZ. Editorial Commentary: Reduce the failure risk: A challenge to reduce the risk of using hybrid graft in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 2018;34:2936-2938.
10. Kraeutler MJ. Editorial Commentary: Built to last or just a trend? Hybrid graft for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 2019;35:535-536.
11. Werner BC. Editorial Commentary: Hybrids make for good cars but probably not anterior cruciate ligament grafts. *Arthroscopy* 2020;36:1202-1203.
12. Dhawan A. Editorial Commentary: The jury remains out on hybrid autograft-plus-allograft for diminutive hamstring anterior cruciate ligament autografts. *Arthroscopy* 2016;32:2364-2365.