

- autografts for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A systematic review. *Arthroscopy* 2021;37:1040-1052.
25. King E, Richter C, Daniels KAJ, et al. Biomechanical but not strength or performance measures differentiate male athletes who experience ACL reinjury on return to level I Sports. *Am J Sports Med* 2021;49:918-927.
 26. Gorschewsky O, Klakow A, Putz A, Mahn H, Neumann W. Clinical comparison of the autologous quadriceps tendon (BQT) and the autologous patella tendon (BPTB) for the reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2007;15:1284-1292.
 27. Han HS, Seong SC, Lee S, Lee MC. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Quadriceps versus patellar autograft. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2008;466:198-204.
 28. Lee S, Seong SC, Jo H, Park YK, Lee MC. Outcome of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using quadriceps tendon autograft. *Arthroscopy* 2004;20:795-802.
 29. Runer A, Csapo R, Heppinger C, Herbort M, Hoser C, Fink C. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions with quadriceps tendon autograft result in lower graft rupture rates but similar patient-reported outcomes as compared with hamstring tendon autograft: A comparison of 875 patients. *Am J Sports Med* 2020;48:2195-2204.
 30. Sofu H, Sahin V, Gursu S, Yildirim T, Issin A, Ordueri M. Use of quadriceps tendon versus hamstring tendon autograft for arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A comparative analysis of clinical results. *Eklemler Hastalik Cerrahisi* 2013;24:139-143.
 31. Fischer F, Fink C, Herbst E, et al. Higher hamstring-to-quadriceps isokinetic strength ratio during the first post-operative months in patients with quadriceps tendon compared to hamstring tendon graft following ACL reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2018;26:418-425.
 32. Webster KE, Feller JA. Exploring the high reinjury rate in younger patients undergoing anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44:2827-2832.
 33. Wiggins AJ, Grandhi RK, Schneider DK, Stanfield D, Webster KE, Myer GD. Risk of secondary injury in younger athletes after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44:1861-1876.
 34. Tan SHS, Lau BPH, Krishna L. Outcomes of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in females using patellar-tendon-bone versus hamstring autografts: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Knee Surg* 2019;32:770-787.
 35. Spindler KP, Parker RD, Andrich JT, et al. Prognosis and predictors of ACL reconstructions using the MOON cohort: A model for comparative effectiveness studies. *J Orthop Res* 2013;31:2-9.
 36. Chen H, Liu H, Chen L. Patellar tendon versus 4-strand semitendinosus and gracilis autografts for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials with mid- to long-term follow-up. *Arthroscopy* 2020;36:2279-2291 e2278.
 37. He X, Yang XG, Feng JT, et al. Clinical outcomes of the central third patellar tendon versus four-strand hamstring tendon autograft used for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A systematic review and subgroup meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Injury* 2020;51:1714-1725.
 38. Zhao L, Lu M, Deng M, Xing J, He L, Wang C. Outcome of bone-patellar tendon-bone vs hamstring tendon autograft for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials with a 5-year minimum follow-up. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2020;99:e23476.
 39. Samuelsen BT, Webster KE, Johnson NR, Hewett TE, Krych AJ. Hamstring autograft versus patellar tendon autograft for ACL reconstruction: Is there a difference in graft failure rate? A meta-analysis of 47,613 patients. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2017;475:2459-2468.
 40. MOON Knee Group, Spindler KP, Huston LJ, et al. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in high school and college-aged athletes: Does Autograft choice influence anterior cruciate ligament revision rates? *Am J Sports Med* 2020;48:298-309.
 41. Erickson BJ, Harris JD, Fillingham YA, et al. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction practice patterns by NFL and NCAA football team physicians. *Arthroscopy* 2014;30:731-738.
 42. Farber J, Harris JD, Kolstad K, McCulloch PC. Treatment of anterior cruciate ligament injuries by major league soccer team physicians. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2014;2:2325967114559892.

**Author Reply to
"Regarding 'No Difference
in Complication Rates or
Patient-Reported
Outcomes Between
Bone-Patellar Tendon-
Bone and Quadriceps
Tendon Autograft for
Anterior Cruciate
Ligament Reconstruction'"**



We would like to thank Dr. Joseph Lamplot for his interest, appreciation, and critical review of our article. We agree with his expanded discussion, commentary, and cautious optimism that surrounds the use of all-soft tissue quadriceps autograft (ASTQT) for ACL reconstruction. We feel there are potential benefits of ASTQT in certain patient subsets but agree that we must resist the temptation for a one-size-fits-all approach. Additionally, we fully acknowledge the limitations of our study, namely selection bias for graft choice and relatively short follow-up duration.

We would be remiss if we did not acknowledge that there is always some innate surgeon bias in graft selection based on personal preferences, training biases, our interpretation of the literature, or other factors, particularly, in contact athletes or high-demand individuals. We also acknowledge that our selection criteria for each graft type could have been more

specific or better controlled. In this cohort study, graft selection was determined through a joint decision-making process between the surgeon and patient/family. On the basis of these discussions, contact athletes more often chose the “gold standard” BPTB autograft. In contrast, our youngest athletes more often selected ASTQT autograft. Bone-patellar tendon-bone (BPTB) autograft is often contraindicated in skeletally immature individuals due to concerns of growth arrest. ASTQT has grown in popularity as a favorable graft option in the skeletally immature population.¹ As such, there was a predilection for using BPTB in the skeletally mature contact athletes and ASTQT in the skeletally immature and/or noncontact athletes. However, our graft choice selection continues to evolve with emerging evidence and remains a “hot topic” overall. Future studies must do a better job defining specific selection criteria for each graft type and/or randomizing patients to appropriately compare BPTB and ASTQT.

Our mean follow-up duration was 22.4 months for ASTQT and 28.5 months for BPTB. We would agree that this more accurately represents short-term follow up in the context of ACL reconstruction. In addition, several patients were lost to follow-up in both groups, which we should have delineated more clearly. To answer the specific query, we did continue to perform both BPTB and ASTQT reconstructions during the entire study period. BPTB autograft ACLR was performed from October 2011 to April 2019, while ASTQT autograft ACLR was performed from March 2014 to April 2019. Lastly, considering our short-term follow-up and low failure numbers, we were limited in the conclusions that could be drawn from these data. However, the similar early failure rates between BPTB and ASTQT suggest that initial graft fixation is sufficient using modern ASTQT techniques in this challenging active patient population.

In conclusion, we recognize the limitations of our study and hope that we have clarified our data to help better inform the readership. We were encouraged by the early results, specifically that our single surgeon series demonstrated no outcome differences between ASTQT and BPTB in a young, at-risk population at relatively short-term follow-up. We remain optimistic about the potential to expand indications for ASTQT as a graft choice for ACL reconstruction. We thank other drivers of ACLR innovation, including Dr. Lamplot,² who encourage data-driven advancement of our knowledge in sports medicine.

Daniel W. Hogan, M.S.
*School of Medicine, University of Missouri
Columbia, Missouri, U.S.A.*

M. Benjamin Burch, M.D.
Department of Orthopaedic Surgery

*University of Missouri
Columbia, Missouri, U.S.A.*

Joseph M. Rund, M.S.
*Department of Orthopaedic Surgery
University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa, U.S.A.*

Derek W. Geeslin, B.S.
*School of Medicine, University of Missouri
Columbia, Missouri, U.S.A.*

Richard Ma, M.D.
Aaron F. Gray, M.D.
*Department of Orthopaedic Surgery
University of Missouri
Columbia, Missouri, U.S.A.*

Constance R. Chu, M.D.
Taylor E. Ray, B.S.
*Department of Orthopaedic Surgery
Stanford University
Palo Alto, California, U.S.A.*

W. Michael Pullen, M.D.
*Department of Orthopaedic Surgery
Medical University of South Carolina
Charleston, South Carolina, U.S.A.*

Seth L. Sherman, M.D.
*Department of Orthopaedic Surgery
Stanford University
Palo Alto, California, U.S.A.*

Note: The authors report the following potential conflicts of interest or sources of funding: R.M. reports grants from Cartiheal and Moximed, outside the submitted work. S.L.S. reports grants and personal fees from Arthrex, personal fees from BioVentus, Flexion Therapeutics, JRF Ortho, Linvatec, Moximed, Olympus, RTI Surgical, GLG Consulting, Vericel, and Zimmer; stocks or stock options from Epic Bio and Vivorte. Full ICMJE author disclosure forms are available for this article online, as [supplementary material](#).

© 2022 by the Arthroscopy Association of North America
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2022.03.036>

References

1. Cerulli G, Placella G, Sebastiani E, Tei MM, Speziali A, Manfreda F. ACL Reconstruction: Choosing the Graft. *Joints* 2013;1:18-24.
2. Lamplot JD. Regarding “No Difference in Complication Rates or Patient-Reported Outcomes Between Bone–Patellar Tendon–Bone and Quadriceps Tendon Autograft

for Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction". *Arthroscopy* 2022;38:1758-1761.

© 2022 by the Arthroscopy Association of North America
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2022.03.011>

Platelet-Rich Plasma Injections Versus Surgery for Treating Lateral Epicondylitis, Placebo Versus Placebo?



The conclusion of Hardy et al. that platelet-rich plasma (PRP) injections are an alternative to surgery for enthesopathy of the origin of the extensor carpi radialis brevis (eECRB; lateral epicondylitis) is misleading.¹ Enthesopathy of the eECRB is a self-limited condition.² You could treat it with anything or nothing and people will feel better. There are other nonspecific effects, such as regression to the mean and placebo effects. Comparisons of surgery to simulated surgery (placebo) or to treatments have shown to be no better than placebo.³⁻⁵

Two recent meta-analyses concluded that PRP injections were no better than placebo.^{6,7}

On the basis of the available evidence, the more accurate conclusion may be that neither PRP treatment nor surgery are superior to placebo.

Melle M. Broekman
 Michel P.J. van den Bekerom
 David Ring

*The University of Texas at Austin Dell Medical School,
 Austin, Texas, U.S.A.*

Note: The authors report no conflicts of interest in the authorship and publication of this letter. Full ICMJE author disclosure forms are available for this article online, as [supplementary material](#).

References

1. Hardy R, Tori A, Fuchs H, Larson T, Brand J, Monroe E. To improve pain and function, platelet-rich plasma injections may be an alternative to surgery for treating lateral epicondylitis: A systematic review. *Arthroscopy* 2021;37:3360-3367.
2. Ikonen J, Lahdeoja T, Ardern CL, Buchbinder R, Reito A, Karjalainen T. Persistent tennis elbow symptoms have little prognostic value: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2022;480:647-660.
3. Yoon SY, Kim YW, Shin IS, Moon HI, Lee SC. Does the type of extracorporeal shock therapy influence treatment effectiveness in lateral epicondylitis? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2020;478:2324-2339.
4. Defoort S, De Smet L, Brys P, Peers K, Degreef I. Lateral elbow tendinopathy: Surgery versus extracorporeal shock wave therapy. *Hand Surg Rehabil* 2021;40:263-267.
5. Kroslak M, Murrell GAC. Surgical treatment of lateral epicondylitis: A prospective, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Am J Sports Med* 2018;46:1106-1113.
6. Gao B, Dwivedi S, DeFroda S, et al. The therapeutic benefits of saline solution injection for lateral epicondylitis: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials comparing saline injections with nonsurgical injection therapies. *Arthroscopy* 2019;35:1847-1859.e12.
7. Simental-Mendía M, Vilchez-Cavazos F, Álvarez-Villalobos N, et al. Clinical efficacy of platelet-rich plasma in the treatment of lateral epicondylitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled clinical trials. *Clin Rheumatol* 2020;39:2255-2265.